



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/718,743

11/21/2003

Matias Duarte

4676P045

1792

7590 02/19/2009  
Thomas C. Webster  
Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP  
1279 Oakmead Parkway  
Sunnyvale, CA 94085

EXAMINER

SHINGLES, KRISTIE D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2441

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/19/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/718,743	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DUARTE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> KRISTIE D. SHINGLES	<b>Art Unit</b> 2441	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Examiner. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mark Niemann, Atty. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Atty proposed an amendment in view of Figure 12 of Applicant's drawing, where a first glyph reflects light incident upon a first surface from an "external light source", and a second glyph is hylighted from a light underneath a second surface. Examiner will update search and extend further consideration upon official submission of the proposed amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kristie D. Shingles/ Examiner, Art Unit 2441	/William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444
--	--